arXiv:1612.09330v1 [q-bio.PE] 29 Dec 2016

# Frequency dependent microbial growth during cycles of feast and famine

Jason Merritt[1,2] and Seppe Kuehn[1,2,3*]
[1] *Center for the Physics of Living Cells, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA.*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA.*
[3] *Center for Biophysics and Quantitative Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA.*

(Dated: January 2, 2017)

In Nature microbial populations are subject to fluctuating nutrient levels. Nutrient abundance fluctuations are important for evolutionary and ecological dynamics in microbial communities since they impact growth rates, population sizes and microbial physiology. Here we use automated continuous-culture devices and single-cell imaging to show that when populations of *Escherichia coli* are subject to cycles of nutrient excess (feasts) and scarcity (famine) that their growth rate during feasts depends on their history of exposure to famine. A model predicts that this dependence arises from increases in the duration of lag-phase with the duration of starvation. We validate this prediction using measurements of lag-phase duration.

PACS numbers: 87.23.Cc, 87.10.Vg, 87.18.-h, 87.18.Vf

In Nature organisms are subjected to dynamically varying environments. For microorganisms, nutrient levels, the presence of antibiotics, and ecological interactions vary in time over a broad range of timescales [1–3]. Microbial populations are therefore subjected to ecologically and evolutionarily important processes on timescales varying from less than a single generation to hundreds of generations or more. Microbes adapt to fluctuating environments by bet-hedging against the presence of antibiotics [4] and by physiologically adapting to stresses [5] or changing nutrient conditions [6, 7].

Studies of bacterial populations in the wild suggest that bacteria experience both periods of high and low nutrient abundance. For example, heterotrophic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* exist in two habitats, the nutrient rich mammalian gut and the nutrient poor aquatic environments outside the host [8]. Laboratory studies have revealed the evolutionary and physiological response to long-term nutrient limitation [9, 10]. However, little is known about the dynamics of bacterial populations subject to cycles of nutrient abundance and scarcity despite the relevance of variable nutrient conditions to natural populations. Of particular relevance to dynamically varying nutrient conditions is lag-phase, the time between the addition of new nutrients to a population and an increase in cell density. As yet, few studies have examined the dynamics of lag-phase quantitatively [11, 12].

In this Letter, we present quantitative measurements of the population dynamics of *E. coli* cycling between conditions of starvation and nutrient excess. We vary the frequency of nutrient fluctuations from $0.33\,\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ to $2\,\mathrm{d}^{-1}$ and observe a strong frequency dependence in the growth rate of the population during periods of nutrient excess. To explain this observation we present a model in which the growth rate of the population depends on both the nutrient concentration and the physiological state of the cell, which declines during starvation and is replenished during growth. The model recapitulates our experimental observations and predicts that the duration of lag-phase depends on the duration and history of exposure to starvation. We validate this prediction experimentally.

We use custom continuous-culture devices coupled to epi-fluorescence microscopes which image fluorescently labeled *E. coli* at the single-cell level. Our devices permit long-term automated single-cell counting to measure population dynamics on timescales of minutes for periods of weeks [13]. To achieve this, our devices maintain a 20 mL culture of bacteria in chemostat conditions while a pump draws small samples from the culture once per minute and passes them through a micron-scale glass capillary where imaging occurs. We use a strain of *E. coli* expressing *dTomato* constitutively from the chromosome. Populations are grown in M63 minimal medium with low levels of carbon (0.04 % w/v, 2.2 mM glucose). Prior to an experiment, populations are initiated from single colonies and grown in a batch culture for two days, then transferred to the continuous-culture devices and grown at a basal chemostat dilution rate of $D = 0.08\,\mathrm{h}^{-1}$ (doubling time $\tau_d = 8.66\,\mathrm{h}$) for 48 hours to acclimate to the conditions of slow but continuous growth.

Following the acclimation period, the continuous-culture devices alternate between long, fixed periods of chemostat operation at the basal dilution rate (famine) and short periods where 90 % of the population is replaced with fresh medium over the course of one hour (feasts). These 'washout events' simultaneously reduce the population by a factor of 10 and increase the substrate concentration to approximately 2 mM, resulting in periods of rapid growth as the population recovers to its steady state abundance at the basal dilution rate [Fig. 1(a)]. Meanwhile, the basal dilution rate is low enough that a population at steady state is maintained in starvation conditions, with substrate concentrations in the micromolar range [14]. We perform this experiment across several different schedules of washout events, with peri-

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: seppe@illinois.edu

ods of 72 h, 48 h, 40 h, 32 h, 24 h, and 12 h from the start of one washout event to the next. We find that the time for the population to recover following a washout event decreases rapidly the more frequently the washout events occur [Fig. 1(b),(c)]. Fig. 1 is the central finding of this Letter.

The change in recovery rate occurs rapidly (by the second washout event) so we conclude that the change in population dynamics is the result of phenotypic processes rather than genetic mutations sweeping through the population [13]. Because the time between washout events is at least 24 h in all but one condition, and because the doubling time at the basal dilution rate is 8.6 h, we propose that information relevant to the environmental history of each population is being transmitted across multiple generations during long periods of starvation.

To describe this information transfer, we introduce a model for the abundance dynamics in our continuous-culture devices that follows a previous model by Baranyi and coworkers [11]. The population abundance $N$ and substrate concentration $S$ are governed by

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \mu(S,Q)N - DN, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = (S_r - S)D - \mu(S,Q)N/Y, \tag{2}$$

where $\mu(S,Q)$ is the substrate-limited specific growth rate, assumed to be governed by Monod growth, $D$ is the dilution rate, $S_r$ is the reservoir substrate concentration of the incoming media, and $Y$ is the bacterial yield (biomass per unit nutrients).

When $\mu$ depends only on the substrate concentration, there is no lag phase and growth rate responds immediately to changes in substrate concentration. However, previous work suggests that lag phase depends on the duration of starvation [15]. This dependence can be modeled through the accumulation of a critical substance $Q$ necessary for growth. The relative magnitude of the parameter $Q$ describes the physiological state of the cell, which modifies the maximum growth rate irrespective of the nutrient levels in the medium. The dynamics of $Q$ are assumed identical for each member of the population and depend on the nutrient levels in the medium,

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \begin{cases} aQ & S \geq S_c \\ -bQ & S < S_c \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

with the growth rate dependence on $Q$ and $S$ given by

$$\mu(S,Q) = \frac{Q}{1+Q}\left(\mu_{max}\frac{S}{K+S}\right), \tag{4}$$

where $\mu_{max}$ and $K$ are the Monod maximum specific growth rate and half-velocity constants, $a$ and $b$ are positive constants, and $S_c$ is a critical threshold value for substrate concentration. Long-term starvation results in $Q \ll 1$ and slow growth even when $S \gg K$. In this regime lag-phase duration is extended. Conversely, when the starvation duration is short, $Q > 1$ and there is a short lag between increases in $S$ and rapid growth. In order to limit model complexity we place no bounds on $Q$. Values of $\mu_{max} = 0.985$ h$^{-1}$ and $K = 0.488$ mM were taken from previous studies [16].

The constants $a$ and $b$ which determine the dynamics of $Q$ were chosen empirically. The bacteria in our experiment experience starvation conditions after recovery from a washout event, and in the 40 h washout schedule exhibit increasing recovery rates despite spending the majority of their time in starvation conditions. Therefore, we assume more rapid recovery of $Q$ than degradation ($a > b$).

We performed numerical simulations of this model and found that it produced abundance dynamics similar to those observed experimentally [Fig. 2]. This phenomenological description of the abundance dynamics observed in Fig. 1 rests on the assumption that the duration of the lag-phase depends on the total time the population spends in nutrient conditions where $S < S_c$ (Equation 4). Therefore, the model predicts that the longer a population is maintained in starvation conditions the greater the duration of the lag phase. The model assumes no population heterogeneity such as dormant or persistent subpopulations.

Indeed, previous studies showed that the longer cells are starved the longer the duration of lag phase once nutrients are replenished [15, 17]. To test whether this process was responsible for the dynamics observed in Fig. 1 we adapted a previously developed technique for measuring lag phase at the single cell level [17]. Levin-Reisman *et al.* showed that monitoring the growth of colonies on rich media agar plates yields information about the duration of lag phase. This study showed that the time to colony appearance is a proxy for lag-phase duration. The authors show that time to colony appearance is a reliable measure of lag phase duration since the rate of colony expansion (change in area with time) did not depend on the time to colony appearance (lag phase). We confirmed this observation (results in Supplemental Material)[18].

To measure lag-phase at the single cell level during cycles of feast and famine we sampled directly from populations maintained in continuous-culture conditions identical to those shown in Fig. 1. We diluted these samples to low density, and plated each sample on three lysogeny broth (LB) plates [Fig. 3(a)]. Previous work demonstrated no additional lag-phase due to the transition from glucose-limited chemostat growth to many other carbon substrates [19] and *E. coli* has strong affinity for serine during growth on glucose [20]. Since serine is the first amino acid consumed during growth on LB [21] we expect the transition to LB adds no additional time to the lag-phase. LB plates yielded large and fast growing colonies for reliable imaging. For each sample, two plates were monitored using webcam and light-emitting diode illumination over the course of 24 hours of growth [Fig. 3(a)]. These images were then background subtracted and segmented using a global threshold and the colonies were tracked in time [18].

To measure lag-phase duration as a function of time since the washout event we sampled and plated populations every 12 hours beginning 6 hours after the first washout event [Fig. 3(b), top panel]. We observed a monotonic increase the the lag-phase duration over the course of the first 66 hours[Fig. 3(c)]. In addition, the distribution of lag-times we observed in single colonies was approximately Gaussian [18], suggesting that distinct subpopulations such as persisters were not present at high relative abundance [17].

We performed identical experiments on populations subjected to washout events every 48 h and 24 h, where we sampled populations every 24 hours beginning 12 hours after the first washout event [Fig. 3(b)]. In the 48 hour condition we observed an increase in lag-phase duration in the first two measurements, 12 and 36 hours after the first washout event. However, as predicted by our model, the lag-duration declined after the subsequent washout event. In the 24 hour condition we observed persistently short lag-durations [Fig. 3(c)]. We note that quantitative measurements of colony growth on agar plates is challenging due to artifacts arising from plate preparation. We found the results of Fig. 3 to be reproducible with appropriate control over agar plate preparation and storage [18]. From Fig. 3 we conclude that the frequency dependent recovery rates shown in Fig. 1 can be explained by a process whereby the duration of lag-phase depends on the history and duration of nutrient deprivation.

The microscopic basis of the physiological parameter $Q$ which describes the state of the cell is not known. However, it has been suggested that cell size and total biomass, unlike the population size, generally do not exhibit a lag phase following a nutrient upshift [22, 23], and a recent study supports this claim for increases in glucose concentration [12]. Therefore, the value of $Q$ may reflect the number of ribosomes, the total RNA [24] or protein content, or cell size [25]. $Q$ may also be inversely related to the presence of stress response regulators [26]. Our data permit precise and continuous measurement of cell sizes where we observe increases in cell size during the fast growth phase immediately after a washout event, followed by a decline as the growth rate reaches $D = 0.08\,\mathrm{h}^{-1}$ in steady state. However, over long periods of starvation we observe an increase in cell size [18], indicating that cell size alone is likely not an appropriate proxy for $Q$.

The history-dependent duration of lag phase we observe here appears to be non-genetic in nature. We observe substantial differences in recovery rates in just a few days of slow growth during which $<10$ generations occur. As suggested by our model, this phenomenon is an adaptive response to the history of the entire population rather than a bet-hedging strategy utilized by a subpopulation [4]. Moreover, since the physiological state of the population ($Q$) declines continuously over many generations it represents the non-genetic transmission of environmental information between generations. Theory suggests that non-genetic memory can be advantageous depending on the temporal structure of environmental variation [27–29]. Our study determines the timescale of this type of memory for cycles of nutrient abundance and scarcity and shows how this memory impacts abundance dynamics.

The ecological significance of this memory may be due to the fact that nutrient deprived populations are more resistant to antibiotics and stress [30]. Therefore, the slower recovery from infrequent feasts may reflect increasing resistance to exogenous stressors in the environment when future levels of nutrient abundance are uncertain.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation Physics Frontiers Center Program (PHY 0822613 and PHY 1430124).

[1] T. R. Fisher, A. B. Gustafson, K. Sellner, R. Lacouture, L. W. Haas, R. L. Wetzel, R. Magnien, D. Everitt, B. Michaels, and R. Karrh, Mar. Biol. **133**, 763 (1999).
[2] J. Pett-Ridge and M. K. Firestone, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **71**, 6998 (2005).
[3] J. R. Thompson, M. A. Randa, L. A. Marcelino, A. Tomita-Mitchell, E. Lim, and M. F. Polz, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **70**, 4103 (2004).
[4] N. Q. Balaban, J. Merrin, R. Chait, L. Kowalik, and S. Leibler, Science **305**, 1622 (2004).
[5] D.-E. Chang, D. Smalley, and T. Conway, Mol. Microbiol. **45**, 289 (2002).
[6] C. Suarez-Mendez, A. Sousa, J. Heijnen, and A. Wahl, Metabolites **4**, 347 (2014).
[7] G. Lambert and E. Kussell, PLoS Genet. **10**, e1004556 (2014).
[8] M. Savageau, Am. Nat. **122**, 732 (1983).
[9] M. M. Zambrano, D. A. Siegele, M. Almirón, A. Tormo, and R. Kolter, Science **259**, 1757 (1993).
[10] R. Maharjan, S. Seeto, L. Notley-McRobb, and T. Ferenci, Science **313**, 514 (2006).
[11] A. Métris, Y. Le Marc, A. Elfwing, A. Ballagi, and J. Baranyi, Int. J. Food Microbiol. **100**, 13 (2005).
[12] D. Madar, E. Dekel, A. Bren, A. Zimmer, Z. Porat, and U. Alon, BMC Syst. Biol. **7**, 136 (2013).
[13] J. Merritt and S. Kuehn, Sci. Rep. **6**, 33173 (2016).
[14] U. Lendenmann, M. Snozzi, and T. Egli, Can. J. Microbiol. **46**, 72 (1999).
[15] C. Pin and J. Baranyi, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **74**, 2534 (2008).
[16] H. Senn, U. Lendenmann, M. Snozzi, G. Hamer, and T. Egli, Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1201**, 424 (1994).
[17] I. Levin-Reisman, O. Gefen, O. Fridman, I. Ronin, D. Shwa, H. Sheftel, and N. Q. Balaban,

Nat. Methods **7**, 737 (2010).
[18] See Supplemental Material, which includes Refs. [11, 13, 17, 25], for details on experimental protocol, model parameters, image segmentation, growth rate, cell size, and cell aggregation.
[19] U. Lendenmann and T. Egli, Microbiol. **141**, 71 (1995).
[20] X. Zhang, Z. W. El-Hajj, and E. Newman, J. Bacteriol. **192**, 5515 (2010).
[21] B. M. Pruss, J. M. Nelms, C. Park, and A. J. Wolfe, J. Bacteriol. **176**, 2143 (1994).
[22] A. Loeb, B. E. McGrath, J. M. Navre, and O. Pierucci, J. Bacteriol. **136**, 631 (1978).
[23] M. D. Rolfe, C. J. Rice, S. Lucchini, C. Pin, A. Thompson, A. D. S. Cameron, M. Alston, M. F. Stringer, R. P. Betts, J. Baranyi, M. W. Peck, and J. C. Hinton, J. Bacteriol. **194**, 686 (2012).
[24] J. A. Klappenbach and J. M. Dunbar, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **66**, 1328 (2000).
[25] E. J. Dens, K. Bernaerts, A. R. Standaert, and J. F. Van Impe, Int. J. Food Microbiol. **101**, 303 (2005).
[26] M. F. Traxler, V. M. Zacharia, S. Marquardt, S. M. Summers, H.-T. Nguyen, S. E. Stark, and T. Conway, Mol. Microbiol. **79**, 830 (2011).
[27] E. Jablonka, B. Oborny, I. Molnar, E. Kisdi, J. Hofbauer, and T. Czaran, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B **350**, 133 (1995).
[28] O. Rivoire and S. Leibler, J. Stat. Phys. **142**, 1124 (2011).
[29] S. Leibler and E. Kussell, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **107**, 13183 (2010).
[30] D. E. Jenkins, J. E. Schultz, and A. Matin, J. Bacteriol. **170**, 3910 (1988).




FIG. 1. (Color online) Frequency dependent response of *E. coli* populations. (a) Cell abundance dynamics for populations of *E. coli* experiencing periodic washout events every 72, 48, 24, or 12 hours. 'Counts per image' denotes the number of single cells in each image captured by the microscope. Raw data are smoothed by a 1-hour rolling average for all four washout event schedules over a period of 230 hours. Solid curves are data from three replicate systems in each condition; dashed vertical lines indicate time of washout events. 100 cells per image corresponds to a density in the culture of $3.375 \times 10^8$ cells/mL. (b) Abundance dynamics for the first three washout events in each of the same four washout event schedules shown in (a). (c) Time for abundances to recover to 60 % of pre-washout value following each washout event for six washout event schedules. Each point corresponds to a single washout event.




FIG. 2. (Color online) Numerical integration of Equations 1, 2, 3, and 4 for the washout event schedules presented in Fig. 1. (a) Abundances ($N$) in time following the first three washout events for simulations of the experiment for the four washout event schedules shown in Fig. 1(a). (b) Simulated time for population to recover to 60 % of pre-washout size following each washout event for the six washout event schedules shown in Fig.1(c).




FIG. 3. (Color online) Single-cell lag time measurement. (a) Samples are drawn from the continuous-culture device during operation and spread on three LB plates. Two of these plates are then automatically imaged every 5 (or 10) minutes for a period of 24 hours using a webcam and LED illumination. (b) Sampling procedure in different experimental conditions. Black arrows indicate sampling times for each experiment. (c) Mean single-cell lag time measurement, using time to colony appearance in webcam images as a proxy for lag-phase duration. Indicated uncertainties are standard error of the mean. We observe some variability in measurements of shorter lag phases as shown by the two replicate 24 hour experiments. Curves shown are representative of our results, but additional replicates are presented in the Supplemental Material [18].